gdu

Identification of 15 new psoriasis susceptibility loci highlights the role of innate immunity

To gain further insight into the genetic architecture of psoriasis, we conducted a meta-analysis of 3 genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and 2 independent data sets genotyped on the Immunochip, including 10,588 cases and 22,806 controls. We identified 15 new susceptibility loci, increasing to 36 the number associated with psoriasis in European individuals. We also identified, using conditional analyses, five independent signals within previously known loci. The newly identified loci shared with other autoimmune diseases include candidate genes with roles in regulating T-cell function (such as RUNX3, TAGAP and STAT3). Notably, they included candidate genes whose products are involved in innate host defense, including interferon-mediated antiviral responses (DDX58), macrophage activation (ZC3H12C) and nuclear factor (NF)-кВ signaling (CARD14 and CARM1). These results portend a better understanding of shared and distinctive genetic determinants of immune-mediated inflammatory disorders and emphasize the importance of the skin in innate and acquired host defense.

Psoriasis is a chronic, potentially disfiguring immune-mediated inflammatory disease of the skin with a prevalence of 0.2-2%, depending on the population of origin. Approximately one-quarter of individuals with psoriatics develop painful and debilitating arthritis, and there is increasing awareness of comorbidities, including metabolic syndromes and cardiovascular disease^{1,2}. Current evidence suggests that a dysregulated cutaneous immune response, characterized by tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α dependence and exaggerated helper T cell 1 (T_H1) and 17 (T_H17) activation, occurs in genetically susceptible individuals^{1,2}. Recent large-scale association studies have identified 26 loci that are associated with psoriasis^{3–10}, 21 of which show association in individuals of European ancestry^{3-6,10}. Several of these loci overlap with those identified as being associated with other autoimmune diseases (for example, Crohn's disease, ankylosing spondylitis and celiac disease), particularly those near genes involved in T_H17 differentiation and interleukin (IL)-17 responsiveness (for example, IL23R, IL12B, IL23A and TRAF3IP2)11. To accelerate the understanding of the genetic architecture of psoriasis, we helped design a custom SNP array—the Immunochip— with the aim of fine mapping previously identified genome-wide significant susceptibility loci (associated at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) and exploring replication of thousands of SNPs representing additional promising signals 12,13 . In this study, we used Immunochip data to identify new susceptibility loci for psoriasis and to consider their association to other autoimmune disorders.

We combined three existing GWAS data sets (referred to as Kiel³, the Collaborative Association Study of Psoriasis (CASP)⁴ and the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2 (WTCCC2)⁵) with two independent case-control data sets of individuals of European descent genotyped on the Immunochip: the Psoriasis Association Genetics Extension (PAGE: 3,580 cases and 5,902 controls) and the Genetic Analysis of Psoriasis Consortium (GAPC: 2,997 cases and 9,183 controls) (data sets are described in **Supplementary Tables 1** and **2**). After quality control, the combined data set consisted of 10,588 individuals with psoriasis and 22,806 healthy controls. For each GWAS, we increased the SNP density through imputation, using European haplotype sequences generated by the 1000 Genomes Project (20100804 release) as templates. Overall, our analysis included 111,236 SNPs that were genotyped in both Immunochip data sets that also had good imputation quality in at least 2 of the 3 GWAS (Online Methods).

From meta-analysis of all five data sets, we confirmed associations at genome-wide significance for SNPs at 19 of the 21 known loci involved in psoriasis (Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary **Table 3**). We found nominal evidence of association for the remaining two loci in the combined analysis (ZMIZ1 and PRDX5, each with $P < 3 \times 10^{-6}$), as well as nominal evidence of association for all loci in separate analyses including only GWAS (all with $P < 5 \times 10^{-5}$ 10^{-3}) or Immunochip data (all with $P < 4 \times 10^{-4}$). In addition, we identified 15 new risk loci associated at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ (Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 3). Nine of the new signals were submitted, during design of the Immunochip, as genome-wide significant Immunochip loci by at least one other disease consortium (Supplementary Table 4, disease overlap), although we also submitted three of these (rs11121129, rs10865331 and rs9504361) on the basis of a preliminary meta-analysis of our GWAS data sets. Notably, of the remaining six signals, four were submitted for psoriasis (rs11795343, rs4561177, rs11652075 and rs545979). The strongest new association was observed for rs892085 at 19p13.2 near the ILF3 and CARM1 genes (combined P value $(P_{\text{combined}}) = 3.0 \times 10^{-17}$; odds ratio (OR) = 1.17). Despite its proximity (< 500 kb away) to TYK2, conditional analysis showed that this SNP represents an independent signal (Supplementary Table 5). Other associated loci included 1p36.11 near RUNX3, 6p25.3 near EXOC2 and IRF4, 9p21.1 near DDX58, 11q22.3 near ZC3H12C, 11q24.3 in the ETS1 gene and 17q21.2 near STAT3, STAT5A and STAT5B. The functional characteristics of notable genes from the newly identified loci are summarized in **Box 1**, and regional association plots are shown in Supplementary Figure 2.

A full list of authors and affiliations appears at the end of the paper.

Received 7 May; accepted 17 October; published online 11 November 2012; doi:10.1038/ng.2467

Table 1 Meta-analysis results for loci associated with psoriasis in a weighted analysis

SNP	Chr.	Position (bp)	GWAS P value (meta)	Immunochip P value (meta)	Combined P value	Risk/ non-risk allele	RAF (case)	RAF (control)	ORa (meta)	Notable genes	Number of genes ± 500 kb
Known suscep	tibility I	oci									
rs7552167	1	24518643	2.3×10^{-5}	8.4×10^{-8}	8.5×10^{-12}	G/A	0.878	0.858	1.21	IL28RA	26
rs9988642	1	67726104	2.5×10^{-13}	3.5×10^{-15}	1.1×10^{-26}	T/C	0.952	0.929	1.52	IL23R	17
rs6677595	1	152590187	8.1×10^{-15}	2.7×10^{-20}	2.1×10^{-33}	T/C	0.689	0.640	1.26	LCE3B, LCE3D	43
rs62149416	2	61083506	3.4×10^{-10}	3.2×10^{-9}	1.8×10^{-17}	T/C	0.671	0.635	1.17	FLJ16341, REL	9
rs17716942	2	163260691	4.1×10^{-9}	1.0×10^{-10}	3.3×10^{-18}	T/C	0.891	0.863	1.27	KCNH7, IFIH1	7
rs27432	5	96119273	4.4×10^{-8}	7.5×10^{-14}	1.9×10^{-20}	A/G	0.309	0.274	1.20	ERAP1	7
rs1295685	5	131996445	8.5×10^{-6}	6.7×10^{-6}	3.4×10^{-10}	G/A	0.807	0.798	1.18	IL13, IL4	21
rs2233278	5	150467189	4.9×10^{-17}	5.2×10^{-27}	2.2×10^{-42}	C/G	0.090	0.058	1.59	TNIP1	17
rs12188300	5	158829527	7.5×10^{-23}	3.3×10^{-32}	3.2×10^{-53}	T/A	0.132	0.095	1.58	IL12B	5
rs4406273	6	31266090	5.3×10^{-300}	3.6×10^{-427}	4.5×10^{-723}	A/G	0.259	0.092	4.32	HLA-B, HLA-C	56
rs33980500	6	111913262	4.3×10^{-20}	7.6×10^{-27}	4.2×10^{-45}	T/C	0.108	0.074	1.52	TRAF3IP2	8
rs582757	6	138197824	2.0×10^{-14}	3.7×10^{-13}	2.2×10^{-25}	C/T	0.315	0.273	1.23	TNFAIP3	5
rs1250546	10	81032532	5.1×10^{-4}	3.2×10^{-4}	6.8×10^{-7}	A/G	0.605	0.579	1.10	ZMIZ1	9
rs645078	11	64135298	4.7×10^{-3}	1.5×10^{-4}	2.2×10^{-6}	A/C	0.626	0.609	1.09	RPS6KA4, PRDX5	36
rs2066819	12	56750204	7.5×10^{-12}	8.9×10^{-8}	5.4×10^{-17}	C/T	0.948	0.934	1.39	STAT2, IL23A	40
rs8016947	14	35832666	1.4×10^{-9}	1.6×10^{-9}	2.5×10^{-17}	G/T	0.600	0.564	1.16	NFKBIA	11
rs12445568	16	31004812	1.2×10^{-6}	1.8×10^{-11}	1.2×10^{-16}	C/T	0.403	0.368	1.16	PRSS53, FBXL19	46
rs28998802	17	26124908	3.6×10^{-6}	1.7×10^{-11}	3.3×10^{-16}	A/G	0.170	0.145	1.22	NOS2	9
rs34536443	19	10463118	5.1×10^{-10}	2.6×10^{-22}	9.1×10^{-31}	G/C	0.974	0.953	1.88	TYK2	42
rs1056198	20	48556229	6.2×10^{-9}	1.6×10^{-7}	1.5×10^{-14}	C/T	0.600	0.573	1.16	RNF114	11
rs4821124	22	21979289	5.4×10^{-5}	1.2×10^{-4}	3.8×10^{-8}	C/T	0.208	0.189	1.13	UBE2L3	16
Newly identifie	d susce	eptibility loci									
rs11121129	1	8268095	7.3×10^{-5}	4.6×10^{-5}	1.7×10^{-8}	A/G	0.308	0.287	1.13	SLC45A1, TNFRSF9	15
rs7536201	1	25293084	7.8×10^{-5}	6.4×10^{-9}	2.3×10^{-12}	C/T	0.528	0.494	1.13	RUNX3	18
rs10865331	2	62551472	4.5×10^{-4}	2.6×10^{-7}	4.7×10^{-10}	A/G	0.404	0.374	1.12	B3GNT2	6
rs9504361	6	577820	5.1×10^{-7}	4.2×10^{-6}	2.1×10^{-11}	A/G	0.574	0.546	1.12	EXOC2, IRF4	5
rs2451258	6	159506600	4.4×10^{-4}	2.0×10^{-5}	3.4×10^{-8}	C/T	0.362	0.348	1.12	TAGAP	8
rs2700987	7	37386237	3.3×10^{-7}	4.6×10^{-4}	4.3×10^{-9}	A/C	0.591	0.564	1.11	ELMO1	3
rs11795343	9	32523737	2.8×10^{-7}	2.1×10^{-5}	8.4×10^{-11}	T/C	0.628	0.597	1.11	DDX58	7
rs10979182	9	110817020	2.8×10^{-5}	1.2×10^{-4}	2.3×10^{-8}	A/G	0.617	0.591	1.12	KLF4	0
rs4561177	11	109962432	1.1×10^{-4}	1.4×10^{-9}	7.7×10^{-13}	A/G	0.617	0.581	1.14	ZC3H12C	4
rs3802826	11	128406438	1.1×10^{-3}	2.0×10^{-7}	9.5×10^{-10}	A/G	0.505	0.484	1.12	ETS1	7
rs367569	16	11365500	2.6×10^{-4}	4.6×10^{-5}	4.9×10^{-8}	C/T	0.729	0.709	1.13	PRM3, SOCS1	14
rs963986	17	40561579	9.9×10^{-5}	1.2×10^{-5}	5.3×10^{-9}	C/G	0.169	0.154	1.15	PTRF, STAT3, STAT5A/B	42
rs11652075	17	78178893	1.3×10^{-3}	7.0×10^{-6}	3.4×10^{-8}	C/T	0.530	0.502	1.11	CARD14	16
rs545979	18	51819750	1.4×10^{-6}	2.4×10^{-5}	3.5×10^{-10}	T/C	0.317	0.291	1.12	POL1, STARD6, MBD2	6
rs892085	19	10818092	1.2×10^{-7}	4.5×10^{-11}	3.0×10^{-17}	A/G	0.593	0.558	1.17	ILF3,CARM1	37

For previously identified susceptibility loci, the most significantly associated SNP within 500 kb (3 Mb for the MHC region) of the previously published SNP is shown. rs34536443 was the most significantly associated SNP in the *TYK2* region but was found to be independent of the previously published SNP (rs12720356). GWAS *P* value, *P* value from the meta-analysis of the three GWAS data sets; Immunochip *P* value, the result of the meta-analysis of the two Immunochip data sets; combined *P* value, the *P* value from the meta-analysis including all five data sets; RAF, risk allele frequency; notable genes, genes most likely to have an effect on the development of psoriasis; chr., chromosome.

*The overall OR was calculated using the effective sample size.

To identify independent secondary signals, we performed conditional analysis, using as covariates the strongest signals from the 34 loci achieving genome-wide significance in this study. We identified secondary signals in five loci: 2q24.2, 5q15, 5q33.3, 6p21.33 and 19q13.2 (**Supplementary Figs. 3** and **4** and **Supplementary Tables 6** and 7). The strongest signal from the conditional analysis mapped to the major histocompatibility (MHC) region near the *MICA* gene (rs13437088: $P = 3.1 \times 10^{-40}$; OR = 1.32), in agreement with a previous conditional analysis ¹⁴. The conditional signal at 5q15 was in the *ERAP2* gene (rs2910686: $P = 2.0 \times 10^{-8}$), which did not show any evidence of association in the unconditioned analysis (P = 0.46). Further investigation showed that the risk-increasing alleles at *ERAP1* and the risk-decreasing alleles at *ERAP2* preferentially appear on the same haplotype, and the signal near *ERAP2* was thus masked by *ERAP1* before conditional analysis (**Supplementary Note**).

The strongest conditional signal in the 19q13.2 region was rs12720356 in the TYK2 gene (OR = 1.25; minor allele frequency (MAF) in controls = 0.09; $P = 3.2 \times 10^{-10}$). The association of this SNP with psoriasis has been previously reported⁵ and is independent of the strongest signal in TYK2 identified by our meta-analysis (rs34536443: OR = 1.88; MAF in cases = 0.03; $P = 1.5 \times 10^{-39}$). Because rs34536443 was a low-frequency imputed SNP and manifested the greatest effect outside of the MHC region, we directly genotyped this SNP in 3,390 independent samples from Michigan (1,844 cases and 1,546 controls), robustly replicating the association (OR = 2.80; MAF in cases = 0.02; $P = 7.8 \times 10^{-14}$) and experimentally confirming the validity of our imputation procedures.

We next tested for statistical interaction among the top SNPs in the 34 significantly associated loci (**Supplementary Table 8** and **Supplementary Note**). We identified two significant pairwise interactions after

Box 1 Annotated functions of notable candidate genes within newly identified psoriasis susceptibility loci

RERE, SLC45A1, ERRFI1 and **TNFRSF9** (**1p36.23**): The signal falls between the *RERE, SLC45A1, ERRFI1* and **TNFRSF9** genes. *RERE* encodes an arginine–glutamic acid dipeptide repeat–containing protein that controls retinoic acid signaling³⁸. *ERRFI1* encodes a feedback inhibitor of the epidermal growth factor (EGF) receptor³⁹. *SLC45A1* encodes a solute carrier protein that mediates the uptake of glucose⁴⁰. The *TNFRSF9* gene encodes a costimulatory molecule that has a role in the generation of memory CD8⁺ T cells.

RUNX3 (1p36.11): RUNX3 encodes a member of the Runt domain—containing family of transcription factors and has an essential role in T-cell biology, particularly in the generation of CD8+ T cells. RUNX3 also has a role in promoting T_H1 differentiation through binding to T-bet⁴¹.

B3GNT2 (2p15): B3GNT2 is a member of the β -1,3-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase family. It catalyzes the initiation and elongation of poly-N-acetyllactosamine chains⁴². Deficiency for it has been shown to result in hyperactivation of lymphocytes⁴³.

EXOC2 and IRF4 (6p25.3): EXOC2 encodes a component of the multiprotein complex that mediates the docking of exocytic vesicles to the plasma membrane⁴⁴. IRF4 encodes a transcription factor that regulates IL17A promoter activity and controls RORyt-dependent T_H17 -mediated colitis $in\ vivo^{45,46}$. IRF4 also has a role in the stabilization of the T_H17 phenotype through IL-21 (ref. 47) and may regulate CD4 and/or CD8 T-cell differentiation through regulation of RUNX3 expression⁴⁸.

TAGAP (6q25.3): TAGAP encodes a RhoGTPase-activating protein that is involved in T-cell activation⁴⁹.

ELM01 (**7p14.2-7p14.1**): *ELM01* encodes a member of the engulfment and cell motility protein family, which binds to DOCK2 and is essential for Toll-like receptor (TLR7 and TLR9)-mediated interferon (IFN)- α induction by plasmacytoid dendritic cells⁵⁰ and plasmacytoid dendritic cell migration⁵¹. DOCK2 also has a role in antigen uptake and presentation and lymphocyte trafficking⁵¹.

DDX58 (9p21.1): DDX58 encodes the RIG-I innate antiviral receptor, which recognizes cytosolic double-stranded RNA⁵². It is induced by IFN- γ (ref. 53) and regulates the production of type I and II IFN⁵⁴.

KLF4 (9p31.2): *KLF4* encodes a Kruppel-like transcription factor, which is required for the establishment of skin barrier function⁵⁵ and regulates key signaling pathways related to macrophage activation⁵⁶. The KLF4 protein also binds to the promoter of *IL17A* and positively regulates its expression.

ZC3H12C (11q22.3): ZC3H12C encodes a zinc-finger protein regulating macrophage activation⁵⁷.

ETS1 (11q24.3): *ETS1* encodes a transcription factor activated downstream of the Ras–mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway and is involved in the homeostasis of squamous epithelia⁵⁸. It is involved in CD8 T-cell differentiation and acts, in part, by promoting RUNX3 expression⁵⁹. It is also a negative regulator of T_H17 differentiation⁶⁰.

SOCS1 (16p13.13): SOCS1 is a member of the suppressor of cytokine signaling family of proteins and inhibits signaling events downstream of IFN- γ (ref. 61). It regulates T_H17 differentiation by maintaining STAT3 transcriptional activity⁶² and interacts with TYK2 in cytokine signaling⁶³.

STAT3, STAT5A and STAT5B (17q21.2): STAT3, STAT5A and STAT5B encode members of the STAT family of transcriptional activators. STAT3 participates in signaling downstream of multiple cytokines implicated in psoriasis, such as IL-6, IL-10, IL-20, IL-22 and IL-23, and may have a role in mediating the innate immune response in psoriatic epidermis⁶⁴. STAT3 is required for the differentiation of T_H17 cells⁶⁵. STAT5A and STAT5B participate in signaling downstream of the IL-2 family of cytokines, including IL-2, IL-7, IL-15 and IL-21. Both proteins contribute to the development of regulatory T (Treg) cells and inhibit the differentiation of T_H17 cells⁶⁶.

CARD14 (17q25.3): CARD14 encodes a member of the family of caspase recruitment domain–containing scaffold proteins, known as CARD- and membrane-associated guanylate kinase–like domain–containing protein (CARMA). CARD14 (also known as CARMA2) is primarily expressed in epithelial tissues and mediates recruitment and activation of the NF-κB pathway⁶⁷.

MBD2, POLI and STARD6 (18q21.2): MBD2 encodes a transcriptional repressor that binds to methylated DNA and has a role in the generation of memory CD8⁺ T cells⁶⁸. POLI encodes an error-prone DNA polymerase, which contributes to the hypermutation of immunoglobulin genes⁶⁹. Sterol transport is mediated by vesicles or by soluble protein carriers such as steroidogenic acute regulatory protein (STAR; MIM 600617). STAR is homologous to a family of proteins containing a STAR-related lipid transfer (START) domain of 200–210 amino acids in length, including STARD6.

ILF3 and *CARM1* (19p13.2): *ILF3* encodes a double-stranded RNA-binding protein that complexes with other proteins, double-stranded RNAs, small noncoding RNAs and mRNAs to regulate gene expression and stabilize mRNA. It is a subunit of the nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT), a transcription factor required for the expression in T cells of *IL-2*. *CARM1* encodes a transcriptional coactivator of NF-κB and functions as a promoter-specific regulator of NF-κB recruitment to chromatin.

correction for multiple testing ($P < 5 \times 10^{-5}$): *HLA-C* (rs4406273) with *LCE* (rs6677595) and *HLA-C* (rs4406273) with *ERAP1* (rs27432). These interactions confirm the results of previous studies^{5,15,16}.

To identify potential causal alleles in coding sequence, we looked for missense variants in tight linkage disequilibrium (LD; $r^2 > 0.9$ in 1000 Genomes Project European samples) with the lead SNP at each of the 34 identified loci (**Table 1** and **Supplementary Table 6**). We found ten potentially causal SNPs (**Table 2**), nine of which were included in our meta-analysis. For the known loci near TRAF3IP2 and TYK2, damaging nonsynonymous substitutions were themselves the index SNPs in our initial and conditional analyses.

For the newly identified loci, the index SNP from *CARD14*, a gene that harbors Mendelian variants predisposing to psoriasis¹⁷, was also a common and damaging variant, as has been described elsewhere¹⁸. For the remaining loci, we could account for essentially all index SNP signals by conditioning on nearby missense SNPs, consistent with the possibility that they are causal. Notable nonsynonymous variants included the protective polymorphism encoding a p.Arg381Gln alteration in *IL23R*¹⁹, a SNP in the *PRSS53* gene²⁰, which is also the most highly overexpressed gene in psoriatic skin in this locus⁶, and a variant in *YDJC* that also increases risk for celiac disease²¹, rheumatoid arthritis²² and Crohn's disease²³.



gdu

Table 2 Potential causal SNPs for significant loci

	Index SNP ^a	Potential causal SNPb					Combined P value ^c				
Marker ^d	RAF	Annotation	Marker ^f	RAF	Gene with variant	Amino-acid substitution (damaging effect) ^g	r ²	Index SNP	Potential causal SNP	Index SNP (conditioning on causal SNP)	
rs9988642	0.93	454 bp downstream of IL23R	rs11209026	0.94	IL23R	p.Arg381Gln (P)	0.91	1.1×10^{-26}	1.5×10^{-26}	0.13	
rs27432	0.29	Intron ERAP1	rs27044	0.29	ERAP1	p.Gln730Glu	1	1.9×10^{-20}	2.3×10^{-20}	0.14	
rs1295685	0.77	3' UTR <i>IL13</i>	rs20541	0.77	IL13	p.Arg144GIn	0.97	3.4×10^{-10}	3.5×10^{-10}	0.78	
rs33980500	0.09	Missense	Self	0.09	TRAF3IP2	p.Asp19Asn (S,P)	1	4.2×10^{-45}	4.2×10^{-45}	NA	
rs2066819	0.93	Intron STAT2	rs2066807	0.93	STAT2	p.Met594IIe	0.9	5.4×10^{-17}	5.1×10^{-16}	0.036	
rs12445568	0.36	Intron STX1B	rs7199949	0.37	PRSS53	p.Pro406Ala	0.9	1.2×10^{-16}	_	_	
rs11652075	0.51	Missense	Self	0.51	CARD14	p.Arg820Trp (S)	1	3.4×10^{-8}	3.4×10^{-8}	NA	
rs34536443	0.97	Missense	Self	0.97	TYK2	p.Pro1104Ala (S,P)	1	1.5×10^{-39}	1.5×10^{-39}	NA	
rs12720356e	0.9	Missense	Self	0.9	TYK2	p.Ile684Ser (S,P)	1	3.2×10^{-10}	3.2×10^{-10}	NA	
rs4821124	0.19	966 bp downstream of UBE2L3	rs2298428	0.18	YDJC	p.Ala263Thr	0.96	3.8×10^{-8}	6.2×10^{-8}	0.48	

NA, not available, as the index SNP is the potential causal SNP. Shown are data for SNPs that are missense mutations in 1000 Genome Project data that were in LD ($r^2 \ge 0.9$) with primary signals from the known and newly identified loci that achieved genome-wide significance in the meta-analysis or with secondary signals from the conditional analysis (Index SNP). and information for SNPs with the most significant P value in our analysis. binformation for the SNPs that had high LD with the strongest signal. SMP and the P value for the index SNP while conditioning on the potential causal SNP. Note that the potential causal SNP rs7199949 is not present in our meta-analysis study; therefore its P value was not shown. SNPs with the most significant P value in our analysis. Meta-analysis P value from the conditional analysis. SNPs that are missense mutations that had high LD with our strongest signal. SHigh-confidence damaging effect predicted by SIFT (S) or Polyphen (P).

Using the results of a large-scale study of gene expression in psoriatic versus normal skin²⁴, we found 14 upregulated genes (IL12RB2, LCE3D, REL, PUS10, CDSN, PRSS53, PRSS8, NOS2, DDX58, ZC3H12C, SOCS1, STAT3, CARD14 and IFIH1) and 4 downregulated genes (MICA, RNF114, PTRF and POLI) in the 34 associated regions (false discovery rate (FDR) of <0.05; fold change of >1.5 or <0.67; Supplementary Table 9). The number of differentially expressed genes in psoriasis susceptibility loci was not greater than expected by chance (P = 0.39). None of the 34 top SNPs met the Bonferronicorrected significance threshold ($P < 1 \times 10^{-7}$) for expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) in skin tissue, as assessed by microarray analysis of mRNA levels²⁵. However, rs2910686, one of the five SNPs identified by conditional analysis, was a cis eQTL for ERAP2 in both normal and psoriatic skin (Supplementary Note). Genetic control of ERAP2 expression has been noted previously^{26,27} and has been suggested as a determinant of balancing selection at this locus²⁸.

This study increases the number of psoriasis-associated regions in individuals of European ancestry to 36, with conditional analysis increasing the number of independent signals to 41. The 39 independent signals associated at $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ in the current study collectively account for 14.3% of the total variance in psoriasis risk or approximately 22% of its estimated heritability²⁹ (**Supplementary Table 10**), indicating that further genetic studies, including fine-mapping studies and searches for uncommon susceptibility variants, are in order.

Sharing of susceptibility loci between autoimmune diseases has been demonstrated previously¹¹, and we found similar patterns of overlap in this study. Notably, ten of the psoriasis susceptibility loci reported here overlapped with those reported in Crohn's disease and ten others associated with celiac disease, diseases that occur at higher frequencies in individuals with psoriasis^{30,31} (**Supplementary Fig. 5** and **Supplementary Table 4**). We caution that the statistical significance of these overlapping loci is hard to assess given the ongoing process of gene discovery for many autoimmune disorders and biases in the list of SNPs evaluated for association in this experiment.

As the primary interface with the external environment, the skin provides a critical first line of host defense to microbial pathogens. Consistent with this function, it possesses a diverse and well-conserved set of innate immune mechanisms^{32,33} that emerged long before the development of adaptive immunity³⁴. In this context, we found it noteworthy that five of the six newly identified loci that are thus far uniquely associated with psoriasis are involved in innate

immune responses (*DDX58*, *KLF4*, *ZC3H12C*, *CARD14* and *CARM1*; **Box 1** and **Supplementary Table 4**). Among all confirmed psoriasis susceptibility loci, 11 out of 14 psoriasis-specific loci (the 5 new loci involved in the innate immune response along with *IL28RA*, *LCE3D*, *NOS2*, *FBXL19*, *NFKBIA* and *RNF114*) encode plausible regulators of innate host defense^{1,2,35}. Conversely, only 6 out of 20 loci shared with other autoimmune diseases contain genes that contribute to innate immunity (*REL*, *IFIH1*, *TNIP1*, *TNFAIP3*, *IRF4* and *ELMO1*). These provisional comparisons further illustrate the insights that can be gained by developing and comparing complete and well-annotated sets of risk loci for autoimmune disorders.

The known and newly identified psoriasis susceptibility loci implicated by this study encode several proteins engaged in the TNF, IL-23 and IL-17 signaling pathways, which are targeted by highly effective biological therapies³⁶. Notably, our strongest non-MHC signal directly implicates *TYK2*, a druggable target that contributes to several autoimmune diseases. Agents targeting the closely related JAK kinases are showing encouraging results in clinical trials³⁷. Our findings will help prioritize and interpret the results of sequencing and gene expression studies. Further genomic studies are needed to identify the underlying causal variants in these psoriasis susceptibility loci and to bring increased understanding of pathogenetic mechanisms.

URLs. WTCCC common controls, http://www.wtccc.org.uk/; 1000 Genomes Project data, ftp://ftp.1000genomes.ebi.ac.uk/vol1/ftp/release/20100804/; Catalog of Published Genome-Wide Association Studies, http://www.genome.gov/gwastudies/; eQTL database, http://www.sph.umich.edu/csg/junding/eQTL/TableDownload/.

METHODS

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

Note: Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Major support for this study was provided by the US National Institutes of Health, the Wellcome Trust and the German Research Foundation. We thank J.C. Barrett for contribution to the design of the Immunochip and helpful analytical discussion, as well as E. Gray, S. Bumpstead, D. Simpkin and the staff of the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute Sample Management and Genotyping teams for their genotyping and analytical contributions. We acknowledge use of the British 1958 Birth Cohort DNA collection, funded by the UK Medical Research Council (G0000934) and the



Wellcome Trust (068545/Z/02), and the UK National Blood Service controls, funded by the Wellcome Trust. We acknowledge CASP for the contribution of GWAS data, as well as the provision of control DNA samples by the Cooperative Research in the Region of Augsburg (KORA) and Heinz-Nixdorf Recall (Risk Factors, Evaluation of Coronary Calcification and Lifestyle) study (HNR) and genotyping data generated by the Dietary, Lifestyle and Genetic determinants of Obesity and Metabolic syndrome (DILGOM) Consortium. We thank the Barbara and Neal Henschel Charitable Foundation for their support of the National Psoriasis Victor Henschel BioBank. We acknowledge the Genetic repository in Ireland for Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis (GRIPPSA), the Irish blood transfusion service/Trinity College Dublin Biobank and the Dublin Centre for Clinical Research (funded by the Health Research Board and the Wellcome Trust). A detailed list of contributing consortia and relevant funding support is provided in the **Supplementary Note**.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.T.E., R.C.T. and G.R.A. designed and directed the study. R.P.N., M.W., J.D., J.J.V., J.T.E., F.C., J.N.W.N.B., M.H.A., C.H.S., A.D.B., C.E.M.G., A.R., J. Kere, X.E., W.W., J. Worthington, R.T.-A., M.S., G.N., L.S., R.M., M.J.C., J.S., A.F., S.W., S.K., K.K., T.E., A.M., A.M.B., G.G.K., D.D.G., P.R., U.M., F.O.N., A.H., J. Winkelmann, S.S., C.W., C.L., S.E., R.A., V.C., C.F.R., H.B., H.W.L. and H.E.W. contributed to sample collection and phenotyping. J. Knight coordinated the samples and data sets for the Genetic Analysis of Psoriasis (GAP) consortium. J.T.E. coordinated the PAGE samples and data sets. P.D., A.S., G.B., R.D.P., D.V. and C.C.A.S. contributed to the design of the Immunochip. J. Knight, P.E.S., G.R.A. and H.M.K. advised on the statistical analysis. C.L., S.E., R.A., H.B., E.E., P.H. and R.P.N. performed genotyping. E.E., S.L.S., L.C.T. and H.M.K. performed the genotype calling. S.L.S., L.C.T., Y.L. and J.D. performed genotype imputation and statistical analysis. F.C., J.N.W.N.B., J.E.G., T.T., J.T.E. and A.F. prepared Box 1. L.C.T., S.L.S., F.C. and J.T.E. drafted the manuscript and prepared the figures and tables. E.E., J.E.G., J. Knight, P.E.S., R.P.N., R.C.T., T.T., G.R.A., J.N.W.N.B. and A.F. edited and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final draft.

COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Published online at http://www.nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/ng.2467. Reprints and permissions information is available online at http://www.nature.com/reprints/index.html.

- Nestle, F.O., Kaplan, D.H. & Barker, J. Psoriasis. N. Engl. J. Med. 361, 496–509 (2009).
- Elder, J.T. et al. Molecular dissection of psoriasis: integrating genetics and biology. J. Invest. Dermatol. 130, 1213–1226 (2010).
- Ellinghaus, E. et al. Genome-wide association study identifies a psoriasis susceptibility locus at TRAF3IP2. Nat. Genet. 42, 991–995 (2010).
- susceptibility locus at IRAF-3IP2. Nat. Genet. 42, 991–995 (2010).

 4. Nair, R.P. et al. Genome-wide scan reveals association of psoriasis with IL-23 and NF-kB pathways. Nat. Genet. 41, 199–204 (2009).
- Strange, A. et al. A genome-wide association study identifies new psoriasis susceptibility loci and an interaction between HLA-C and ERAP1. Nat. Genet. 42, 985–990 (2010).
- Stuart, P.E. et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies three psoriasis susceptibility loci. Nat. Genet. 42, 1000–1004 (2010).
- Sun, L.D. et al. Association analyses identify six new psoriasis susceptibility loci in the Chinese population. Nat. Genet. 42, 1005–1009 (2010).
- Zhang, X.J. et al. Psoriasis genome-wide association study identifies susceptibility variants within LCE gene cluster at 1q21. Nat. Genet. 41, 205–210 (2009).
- de Cid, R. et al. Deletion of the late cornified envelope LCE3B and LCE3C genes as a susceptibility factor for psoriasis. Nat. Genet. 41, 211–215 (2009).
- Ellinghaus, D. et al. Combined analysis of genome-wide association studies for Crohn disease and psoriasis identifies seven shared susceptibility loci. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 90, 636–647 (2012).
- 11. Cotsapas, C. *et al.* Pervasive sharing of genetic effects in autoimmune disease. *PLoS Genet.* **7**, e1002254 (2011).
- Cortes, A. & Brown, M.A. Promise and pitfalls of the Immunochip. Arthritis Res. Ther. 13, 101 (2011).
- 13. Trynka, G. *et al.* Dense genotyping identifies and localizes multiple common and rare variant association signals in celiac disease. *Nat. Genet.* **43**, 1193–1201 (2011).
- Feng, B.J. et al. Multiple loci within the major histocompatibility complex confer risk of psoriasis. PLoS Genet. 5, e1000606 (2009).
- 15. Zheng, H.F. et al. Variants in MHC, LCE and IL12B have epistatic effects on psoriasis risk in Chinese population. J. Dermatol. Sci. 61, 124–128 (2011).
- Riveira-Munoz, E. et al. Meta-analysis confirms the LCE3C_LCE3B deletion as a risk factor for psoriasis in several ethnic groups and finds interaction with HLA-Cw6. J. Invest. Dermatol. 131, 1105–1109 (2011).
- 17. Jordan, C.T. *et al.* PSORS2 is due to mutations in *CARD14. Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **90**, 784–795 (2012).
- Jordan, C.T. et al. Rare and common variants in CARD14, encoding an epidermal regulator of NF-κB, in psoriasis. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 90, 796–808 (2012).

- Di Meglio, P. et al. The IL23R R381Q gene variant protects against immunemediated diseases by impairing IL-23-induced Th17 effector response in humans. PLoS ONE 6, e17160 (2011).
- Cal, S. et al. Identification and characterization of human polyserase-3, a novel protein with tandem serine-protease domains in the same polypeptide chain. BMC Biochem. 7, 9 (2006).
- Dubois, P.C. et al. Multiple common variants for celiac disease influencing immune gene expression. Nat. Genet. 42, 295–302 (2010).
- Zhernakova, A. et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies in celiac disease and rheumatoid arthritis identifies fourteen non-HLA shared loci. PLoS Genet. 7, e1002004 (2011).
- Franke, A. et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis increases to 71 the number of confirmed Crohn's disease susceptibility loci. Nat. Genet. 42, 1118–1125 (2010).
- Gudjonsson, J.E. et al. Assessment of the psoriatic transcriptome in a large sample: additional regulated genes and comparisons with in vitro models. J. Invest. Dermatol. 130, 1829–1840 (2010).
- Ding, J. et al. Gene expression in skin and lymphoblastoid cells: refined statistical method reveals extensive overlap in cis-eQTL signals. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 87, 779–789 (2010).
- Mason, C.C. et al. Bimodal distribution of RNA expression levels in human skeletal muscle tissue. BMC Genomics 12, 98 (2011).
- Song, M.Y., Kim, H.E., Kim, S., Choi, I.H. & Lee, J.K. SNP-based large-scale identification of allele-specific gene expression in human B cells. *Gene* 493, 211–218 (2012).
- Andrés, A.M. et al. Balancing selection maintains a form of ERAP2 that undergoes nonsense-mediated decay and affects antigen presentation. PLoS Genet. 6, e1001157 (2010).
- Grjibovski, A.M., Olsen, A.O., Magnus, P. & Harris, J.R. Psoriasis in Norwegian twins: contribution of genetic and environmental effects. *J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol.* Venereol. 21, 1337–1343 (2007).
- Najarian, D.J. & Gottlieb, A.B. Connections between psoriasis and Crohn's disease.
 J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 48, 805–821 quiz 822–824 (2003).
- Ludvigsson, J.F., Lindelof, B., Zingone, F. & Ciacci, C. Psoriasis in a nationwide cohort study of patients with celiac disease. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 131, 2010–2016 (2011).
- 32. Modlin, R.L. Innate immunity: ignored for decades, but not forgotten. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* **132**, 882–886 (2012).
- Nakatsuji, T. & Gallo, R.L. Antimicrobial peptides: old molecules with new ideas. J. Invest. Dermatol. 132, 887–895 (2012).
- Wölfle, U., Martin, S., Emde, M. & Schempp, C. Dermatology in the Darwin anniversary. Part 2: Evolution of the skin-associated immune system. J. Dtsch. Dermatol. Ges. 7, 862–869 (2009).
- Capon, F., Burden, A.D., Trembath, R.C. & Barker, J.N. Psoriasis and other complex trait dermatoses: from loci to functional pathways. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 132, 915–922 (2012).
- 36. Gudjonsson, J.E. & Elder, J.T. Psoriasis. in *Dermatology in General Medicine* Vol. 1 (eds. Goldsmith, L. *et al.*) 197–231 (McGraw-Hill, New York, 2012).
- Garber, K. Psoriasis: from bed to bench and back. Nat. Biotechnol. 29, 563–566 (2011).
- 38. Vilhais-Neto, G.C. *et al.* Rere controls retinoic acid signalling and somite bilateral symmetry. *Nature* **463**, 953–957 (2010).
- Ferby, I. et al. Mig6 is a negative regulator of EGF receptor-mediated skin morphogenesis and tumor formation. Nat. Med. 12, 568–573 (2006).
- Amler, L.C. et al. Identification and characterization of novel genes located at the t(1;15)(p36.2;q24) translocation breakpoint in the neuroblastoma cell line NGP. Genomics 64, 195–202 (2000).
- 41. Djuretic, I.M. *et al.* Transcription factors T-bet and Runx3 cooperate to activate *lfng* and silence *ll4* in T helper type 1 cells. *Nat. Immunol.* **8**, 145–153 (2007).
- Shiraishi, N. et al. Identification and characterization of three novel β1,3-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferases structurally related to the β1,3-galactosyltransferase family. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 3498–3507 (2001).
- Togayachi, A. β3GnT2 (B3GNT2), a major polylactosamine synthase: analysis of B3GNT2-deficient mice. Methods Enzymol. 479, 185–204 (2010).
- Grindstaff, K.K. et al. Sec6/8 complex is recruited to cell-cell contacts and specifies transport vesicle delivery to the basal-lateral membrane in epithelial cells. Cell 93, 731–740 (1998).
- Biswas, P.S. et al. Phosphorylation of IRF4 by ROCK2 regulates IL-17 and IL-21 production and the development of autoimmunity in mice. J. Clin. Invest. 120, 3280–3295 (2010).
- Mudter, J. et al. IRF4 regulates IL-17A promoter activity and controls RORγtdependent Th17 colitis in vivo. Inflamm. Bowel Dis. 17, 1343–1358 (2011).
- Huber, M. et al. IRF4 is essential for IL-21-mediated induction, amplification, and stabilization of the Th17 phenotype. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105, 20846–20851 (2008).
- Bowcock, A.M. et al. Insights into psoriasis and other inflammatory diseases from large-scale gene expression studies. Hum. Mol. Genet. 10, 1793–1805 (2001).
- Chang, I.F. & Hsiao, H.Y. Induction of RhoGAP and pathological changes characteristic of Alzheimer's disease by UAHFEMF discharge in rat brain. Curr. Alzheimer Res. 2, 559–569 (2005).
- Gotoh, K. et al. Selective control of type I IFN induction by the Rac activator DOCK2 during TLR-mediated plasmacytoid dendritic cell activation. J. Exp. Med. 207, 721–730 (2010).

- 51. Ippagunta, S.K. et al. The inflammasome adaptor ASC regulates the function of adaptive immune cells by controlling Dock2-mediated Rac activation and actin polymerization. Nat. Immunol. 12, 1010-1016 (2011).
- 52. Loo, Y.M. & Gale, M. Immune signaling by RIG-I-like receptors. Immunity 34, 680-692 (2011).
- 53. Cui, X.F., Imaizumi, T., Yoshida, H., Borden, E.C. & Satoh, K. Retinoic acid-inducible gene-I is induced by interferon- γ and regulates the expression of interferon-γ stimulated gene 15 in MCF-7 cells. Biochem. Cell Biol. 82, 401–405
- 54. Negishi, H. et al. A critical link between Toll-like receptor 3 and type II interferon signaling pathways in antiviral innate immunity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105, 20446-20451 (2008).
- 55. Patel, S., Xi, Z.F., Seo, E.Y., McGaughey, D. & Segre, J.A. Klf4 and corticosteroids activate an overlapping set of transcriptional targets to accelerate in utero epidermal barrier acquisition. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 103, 18668-18673 (2006).
- 56. Feinberg, M.W. et al. Kruppel-like factor 4 is a mediator of proinflammatory signaling in macrophages. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 38247-38258 (2005).
- 57. Liang, J. et al. A novel CCCH-zinc finger protein family regulates proinflammatory activation of macrophages, J. Biol. Chem. 283, 6337-6346 (2008).
- 58. Nagarajan, P. et al. Ets1 blocks terminal differentiation of keratinocytes and induces expression of matrix metalloproteases and innate immune mediators. J. Cell Sci. **123**. 3566-3575 (2010).
- 59. Zamisch. M. et al. The transcription factor Ets1 is important for CD4 repression and Runx3 up-regulation during CD8 T cell differentiation in the thymus. J. Exp. Med. 206, 2685-2699 (2009).

- 60. Moisan, J., Grenningloh, R., Bettelli, E., Oukka, M. & Ho, I.C. Ets-1 is a negative regulator of Th17 differentiation. J. Exp. Med. 204, 2825-2835 (2007).
- 61. Sakamoto, H. et al. A Janus kinase inhibitor, JAB, is an interferon-γ-inducible gene and confers resistance to interferons. Blood 92, 1668-1676 (1998).
- 62. Tanaka, K. et al. Loss of suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 in helper T cells leads to defective Th17 differentiation by enhancing antagonistic effects of IFN-γ on STAT3 and Smads. J. Immunol. 180, 3746-3756 (2008).
- 63. Piganis, R.A. et al. Suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS) 1 inhibits type I interferon (IFN) signaling via the interferon α receptor (IFNAR1)-associated tyrosine kinase Tyk2. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 33811-33818 (2011).
- 64. Sano, S. et al. Stat3 links activated keratinocytes and immunocytes required for development of psoriasis in a novel transgenic mouse model. Nat. Med. 11, 43-49
- 65. Harris, T.J. et al. Cutting edge: an in vivo requirement for STAT3 signaling in T_H17 development and T_H17-dependent autoimmunity. J. Immunol. 179, 4313-4317
- 66. Wei, L., Laurence, A. & O'Shea, J.J. New insights into the roles of Stat5a/b and Stat3 in T cell development and differentiation. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 19, 394-400 (2008).
- 67. Blonska, M. & Lin, X. NF-κB signaling pathways regulated by CARMA family of scaffold proteins. Cell Res. 21, 55-70 (2011).
- 68. Kersh, E.N. Impaired memory CD8 T cell development in the absence of methyl-CpG-binding domain protein 2. J. Immunol. 177, 3821-3826 (2006).
- 69. Faili, A. et al. Induction of somatic hypermutation in immunoglobulin genes is dependent on DNA polymerase iota. Nature 419, 944-947 (2002).

Lam C Tsoi^{1,51}, Sarah L Spain^{2,51}, Jo Knight^{3,4,51}, Eva Ellinghaus^{5,51}, Philip E Stuart⁶, Francesca Capon², Jun Ding¹, Yanming Li¹, Trilokraj Tejasvi⁶, Johann E Gudjonsson⁶, Hyun M Kang¹, Michael H Allen², Ross McManus^{7,8}, Giuseppe Novelli^{9,10}, Lena Samuelsson¹¹, Joost Schalkwijk¹², Mona Ståhle¹³, A David Burden¹⁴, Catherine H Smith¹⁵, Michael J Cork¹⁶, Xavier Estivill¹⁷, Anne M Bowcock¹⁸, Gerald G Krueger¹⁹, Wolfgang Weger²⁰, Jane Worthington²¹, Rachid Tazi-Ahnini¹⁶, Frank O Nestle², Adrian Hayday²², Per Hoffmann^{23,24}, Juliane Winkelmann^{25–27}, Cisca Wijmenga²⁸, Cordelia Langford²⁹, Sarah Edkins²⁹, Robert Andrews²⁹, Hannah Blackburn²⁹, Amy Strange³⁰, Gavin Band³⁰, Richard D Pearson³⁰, Damjan Vukcevic³⁰, Chris C A Spencer³⁰, Panos Deloukas²⁹, Ulrich Mrowietz³¹, Stefan Schreiber^{5,32}, Stephan Weidinger³¹, Sulev Koks³³, Külli Kingo³⁴, Tonu Esko³⁵, Andres Metspalu³⁵, Henry W Lim³⁶, John J Voorhees⁶, Michael Weichenthal³¹, H Erich Wichmann^{37–39}, Vinod Chandran⁴⁰, Cheryl F Rosen⁴¹, Proton Rahman⁴², Dafna D Gladman⁴⁰, Christopher E M Griffiths⁴³, Andre Reis⁴⁴, Juha Kere⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷, Collaborative Association Study of Psoriasis 48, Genetic Analysis of Psoriasis Consortium 48, Psoriasis Association Genetics Extension⁴⁸, Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2⁴⁸, Rajan P Nair⁶, Andre Franke⁵, Jonathan N W N Barker^{2,15}, Goncalo R Abecasis¹, James T Elder^{6,49} & Richard C Trembath^{2,50}

Collaborative Association Study of Psoriasis (CASP):

Kristina Callis Duffin¹⁹, Cindy Helms¹⁸, David Goldgar¹⁹, Yun Li¹, Justin Paschall⁵², Mary J Malloy^{53,54}, Clive R Pullinger^{53,54}, John P Kane^{53,54}, Jennifer Gardner¹⁸, Amy Perlmutter⁵⁵, Andrew Miner⁵⁵, Bing Jian Feng¹⁹, Ravi Hiremagalore⁶, Robert W Ike⁵⁶, Enno Christophers³¹, Tilo Henseler³¹, Andreas Ruether⁵, Steven J Schrodi⁵⁷, Sampath Prahalad⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰, Stephen L Guthery⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰, Judith Fischer⁶¹, Wilson Liao⁶², Pui Kwok⁶², Alan Menter⁶³, G Mark Lathrop⁶¹, C Wise⁶⁴ & Ann B Begovich⁵⁷

Genetic Analysis of Psoriasis Consortium:

Alexandros Onoufriadis², Michael E Weale², Angelika Hofer²⁰, Wolfgang Salmhofer²⁰, Peter Wolf²⁰, Kati Kainu⁶⁵, Ulpu Saarialho-Kere⁶⁵, Sari Suomela⁶⁵, Petra Badorf⁴⁴, Ulrike Hüffmeier⁴⁴, Werner Kurrat⁶⁶, Wolfgang Küster⁶⁷, Jesús Lascorz⁶⁸, Rotraut Mössner⁶⁹, Funda Schürmeier-Horst⁷⁰, Markward Ständer⁷¹, Heiko Traupe⁷⁰, Judith G M Bergboer¹², Martin den Heijer^{72,73}, Peter C van de Kerkhof¹², Patrick L J M Zeeuwen¹², Louise Barnes^{7,8}, Linda E Campbell⁷⁴, Caitriona Cusack⁷⁵, Ciara Coleman^{7,8}, Judith Conroy^{7,8}, Sean Ennis^{7,8}, Oliver Fitzgerald⁷⁶, Phil Gallagher⁷⁶, Alan D Irvine⁷⁷, Brian Kirby⁷⁶, Trevor Markham⁷⁵, W H Irwin McLean⁷⁴, Joe McPartlin^{7,8}, Sarah F Rogers⁷⁶, Anthony W Ryan^{7,8}, Agnieszka Zawirska⁷⁶, Emiliano Giardina⁹, Tiziana Lepre⁹, Carlo Perricone⁹, Gemma Martín-Ezquerra⁷⁸, Ramon M Pujol⁷⁸, Eva Riveira-Munoz¹⁷, Annica Inerot⁷⁹, Åsa T Naluai¹¹, Lotus Mallbris¹³, Katarina Wolk¹³, Joyce Leman¹⁴, Anne Barton²¹, Richard B Warren⁴³, Helen S Young⁴³, Isis Ricano-Ponce²⁸ & Gosia Trynka²⁸

Fawnda J Pellett⁴⁰, Andrew Henschel⁸⁰, Marin Aurand⁸⁰, Bruce Bebo⁸⁰, Christian Gieger⁸¹, Thomas Illig⁸², Susanne Moebus⁸³, Karl-Heinz Jöckel⁸³ & Raimund Erbel⁸⁴

Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 2:

Peter Donnelly^{30,85}, Leena Peltonen²⁹, Jenefer M Blackwell^{86,87}, Elvira Bramon^{88,89}, Matthew A Brown⁹⁰, Juan P Casas⁹¹, Aiden Corvin⁹², Nicholas Craddock⁹³, Audrey Duncanson⁹⁴, Janusz Jankowski⁹⁵, Hugh S Markus⁹⁶, Christopher G Mathew², Mark I McCarthy⁹⁷, Colin N A Palmer⁹⁸, Robert Plomin⁹⁹, Anna Rautanen³⁰, Stephen J Sawcer¹⁰⁰, Nilesh Samani¹⁰¹, Ananth C Viswanathan^{102,103}, Nicholas W Wood¹⁰⁴, Céline Bellenguez³⁰, Colin Freeman³⁰, Garrett Hellenthal³⁰, Eleni Giannoulatou³⁰, Matti Pirinen³⁰, Zhan Su³⁰, Sarah E Hunt²⁹, Rhian Gwilliam²⁹, Suzannah J Bumpstead²⁹, Serge Dronov²⁹, Matthew Gillman²⁹, Emma Gray²⁹, Naomi Hammond²⁹, Alagurevathi Jayakumar²⁹, Owen T McCann²⁹, Jennifer Liddle²⁹, Marc L Perez²⁹, Simon C Potter²⁹, Radhi Ravindrarajah²⁹, Michelle Ricketts²⁹, Matthew Waller²⁹, Paul Weston²⁹, Sara Widaa²⁹ & Pamela Whittaker²⁹

¹Department of Biostatistics, Center for Statistical Genetics, University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA. ²Division of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, King's

College London, London, UK. ³Neuroscience Research, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. ⁴National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), Biomedical Research Centre, Guy's and St. Thomas' National Health Service (NHS) Foundation Trust, London, UK. 5 Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology, Christian-Albrechts-University, Kiel, Germany. ⁶Department of Dermatology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA. ⁷Department of Clinical Medicine, Trinity College Dublin, St. James's Hospital, Dublin, Ireland. 8 Institute of Molecular Medicine, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland. 9 National Agency for Evaluation of Universities and Research Institutes (ANVUR), Rome, Italy. ¹⁰Research Center San Pietro Hospital, Rome, Italy. ¹¹Department of Medical and Clinical Genetics, Institute of Biomedicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden. 12 Department of Dermatology, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ¹³Dermatology Unit, Department of Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. ¹⁴Department of Dermatology, Western Infirmary, Glasgow, UK. 15St. John's Institute of Dermatology, King's College London, London, UK. 16Academic Unit of Dermatology Research, Department of Infection and Immunity, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK. ¹⁷Genes and Disease Programme, Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG) and Pompeu Fabra University, Hospital del Mar Research Institute and Public Health and Epidemiology Network Biomedical Research Centre (CIBERESP), Barcelona, Spain. ¹⁸Department of Genetics, Division of Human Genetics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA. 19Department of Dermatology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA. 20 Department of Dermatology, Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria. 21 Arthritis Research UK Epidemiology Unit, University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, UK. ²²Division of Immunology, Infection and Inflammatory Disease, King's College London, London, UK. ²³Institute of Human Genetics, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany. ²⁴Department of Genomics, Life & Brain Center, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany. ²⁵Department of Neurology, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁶Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁷Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute of Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute Onto Human Genetics, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute Onto Human Genetics, Munchen, Munich, Germany. ²⁸Institute Onto Human Geneti Human Genetics, Helmholtz Zentrum Munich, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Munich, Germany. 28 Department of Genetics, University Medical Center and University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands. 29Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridge, UK. 30Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, Oxford, UK. 31Department of Dermatology, University Hospital, Schleswig-Holstein, Christian-Albrechts-University, Kiel, Germany. 32PopGen Biobank, University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel, Germany. 33 Department of Physiology, Centre of Translational Medicine and Centre for Translational Genomics, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia. 34 Department of Dermatology and Venerology, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia. 35 Estonian Genome Center, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia. ³⁶Department of Dermatology, Henry Ford Hospital, Detroit, Michigan, USA. ³⁷Institute of Epidemiology I, Helmholtz Zentrum Munich, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany. ³⁸Institute of Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany. ³⁹Klinikum Grosshadern, Munich, Germany. ⁴⁰Department of Medicine, Division of Rheumatology, University of Toronto, Toronto Western Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. 41 Department of Medicine, Division of Dermatology, University of Toronto, Toronto Western Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. 42 Department of Medicine, Memorial University, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada. ⁴³Dermatological Sciences, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, UK. ⁴⁴Institute of Human Genetics, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany. ⁴⁵Department of Biosciences and Nutrition, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. 46Folkhälsan Institute of Genetics, Helsinki, Finland. 47Department of Medical Genetics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ⁴⁸A list of members and affiliations appears at the end of the paper. ⁴⁹Ann Arbor Veterans Affairs Hospital, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA. 50Queen Mary University of London, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London, UK. 51These authors contributed equally to this work. 52 National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine, US National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA. 53 Cardiovascular Research Institute, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA. 54Center for Human Genetics, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA. 54Center for Human Genetics, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA. 54Center for Human Genetics, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA. 54Center for Human Genetics, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA. 54Center for Human Genetics, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA. 54Center for Human Genetics, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA. 54Center for Human Genetics, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA. 54Center for Human Genetics, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA. 54Center for Human Genetics, University of California, USA. 54Center for Human Genetics, USA. 54Center fo Francisco, California, USA. 55 Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA. 56 Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA. ⁵⁷Celera, Alameda, California, USA. ⁵⁸Department of Pediatrics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA. ⁵⁹Department of Rheumatology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA. ⁶⁰Department of Gastroenterology, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, USA. 61Centre National de Génotypage, Institut Génomique, Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, Evry, France. 62Department of Dermatology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA. 63Department of Dermatology, Baylor University Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA. 64Seay Center for Musculoskeletal Research, Texas Scottish Rite Hospital for Children, Dallas, Texas, USA. 65Department of Dermatology and Venerology, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. 66 Asklepios Nordseeklinik, Westerland/Sylt, Germany. 67 TOMESA Clinics, Bad Salzschlirf, Germany. 68 Division of Molecular Genetic Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany. 69 Department of Dermatology, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany. 70 Department of Dermatology, University of Münster, Münster, Germany. 72 Department of Endocrinology, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. 73Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ⁷⁴University of Dundee, Dundee, UK. ⁷⁵Department of Dermatology, University College Hospital Galway, Galway, Ireland. ⁷⁶Department of Dermatology, St. Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland. 77 Department of Clinical Medicine, Trinity College Dublin, Our Lady's Children's Hospital Crumlin, Dublin, Ireland. 78 Dermatology Service, Hospital del Mar–Instituto Municipal de Asistencia Sanitaria (IMAS), Barcelona, Spain. ⁷⁹Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden. ⁸⁰National Psoriasis Foundation, Portland, Oregon, USA. ⁸¹Institute of Genetic Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum Munich, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany. 82 Research Unit Molecular Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum Munich, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany. 83 Institute for Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology (IMIBE), University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany. 84Clinic of Cardiology, West German Heart Centre, University Hospital of Essen, University Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany. 85Department of Statistics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. 86Telethon Institute for Child Health Research, Centre for Child Health Research, University of Western Australia, Suciaco, Western Australia, Australia. 87Genetics and Infection Laboratory, Cambridge Institute of Medical Research, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK. 88Division of Psychological Medicine and Psychiatry, Biomedical Research Centre for Mental Health at the Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, London, UK. 89The South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, Denmark Hill, London, UK. ⁹⁰Diamantina Institute of Cancer, Immunology and Metabolic Medicine, Princess Alexandra Hospital, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. ⁹¹Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine,



London, UK. ⁹²Neuropsychiatric Genetics Research Group, Institute of Molecular Medicine, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland. ⁹³Department of Psychological Medicine, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Heath Park, Cardiff, UK. ⁹⁴Molecular and Physiological Sciences, The Wellcome Trust, London, UK. ⁹⁵Centre for Gastroenterology, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London, UK. ⁹⁶Clinical Neurosciences, St. George's University of London, London, UK. ⁹⁷Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism (ICDEM), Churchill Hospital, Oxford, UK. ⁹⁸Biomedical Research Centre, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee, UK. ⁹⁹Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, King's College London Institute of Psychiatry, Denmark Hill, London, UK. ¹⁰⁰University of Cambridge Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK. ¹⁰¹Department of Cardiovascular Science, University of Leicester, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, UK. ¹⁰²Glaucoma Research Unit, Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. ¹⁰³Department of Genetics, University College London Institute of Ophthalmology, London, UK. ¹⁰⁴Department of Molecular Neuroscience, Institute of Neurology, London, UK. Correspondence should be addressed to R.C.T. (vp-health@qmul.ac.uk), G.R.A. (goncalo@umich.edu) or J.T.E. (jelder@umich.edu).



ONLINE METHODS

Sample collections. The samples used in the three GWAS data sets (Kiel, CASP and WTCCC2) were previously described^{3–5}. Samples in the PAGE and GAPC data sets (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2) were collected from subjects of European descent at the participating institutions after obtaining informed consent. Enrollment of human subjects for this study was approved by the ethics boards of the participating institutions in adherence with the Declaration of Helsinki Principles. DNA was isolated from blood or Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-immortalized lymphoblastoid cell lines using standard methods.

The collections used in the GAPC and PAGE Immunochip studies are described in Supplementary Table 2.

The samples from GAPC substantially overlapped with those described as replication data sets in Strange et al.5. All cases had been diagnosed as having psoriasis vulgaris. The GAPC cases and the Irish and Spanish controls were genotyped at the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, and all samples were provided by the relevant groups listed in Supplementary Table 2 and by the members of the GAP Consortium listed in the Supplementary Note. The UK controls were the WTCCC common control samples that did not overlap with the samples included in the original GWAS (the data set consisted of 6,740 1958 British Birth cohort and 2,900 UK Blood Service samples genotyped at the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute and the University of Virginia). The German controls were obtained from the PopGen Biobank and genotyped at the Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology at Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel. The Finland control data were from the DILGOM $collection^{70}$. The Irish controls were provided by the Irish Blood Transfusion Service/Trinity College Dublin Biobank, and Irish cases were collected with the aid of the Dublin Centre for Clinical Research. We did not include specific controls from Austria or Sweden, but principal-components analysis suggested that the cases from these cohorts were well matched to the controls from The Netherlands and Germany.

For the PAGE Immunochip study, samples also substantially overlapped with previously published replication data sets. The German cases (described as a replication data set in Ellinghaus et al.3) and all samples from the United States and Canada, as well as 439 Estonian cases from the University of Tartu, were genotyped at the Institute of Clinical Molecular Biology at Christian-Albrechts-University of Kiel. The respective samples were provided by the groups listed in Supplementary Table 2 and by the members of PAGE listed in the Supplementary Note. The German controls were obtained from a population-based sample from the general population living in the region of Augsburg in southern Germany (KORA S4/F4)⁷¹, which was genotyped at the $Helmholtz\ Zentrum\ Munich, and\ from\ the\ population-based\ epidemiological$ HNR study, for which genotyping was performed at the Life and Brain Center at the University Clinic in Bonn. The remaining Estonian samples were obtained from and genotyped at the Estonian Genome Center University of Tartu (EGCUT).

Genotyping panel and SNPs. The Immunochip is a custom Illumina Infinium high-density array consisting of 196,524 variants (after Illumina quality control) compiled largely from variants identified in previous GWAS of 12 different immune-mediated inflammatory diseases, including psoriasis¹³. The main aims of the Immunochip were deeper replication and fine mapping of genome-wide significant loci, as well as increasing power to promote promising but less significant SNPs to genome-wide significance. For fine mapping, SNPs within 0.2 cM on either side of the GWAS top SNPs at 186 loci were selected from 1000 Genomes Project⁷² low-coverage pilot Utah residents of Northern and Western European ancestry (CEU) sequencing data as well as additional variants identified by resequencing from groups involved in the chip design. For promotion of promising signals and those not quite reaching genome-wide significance, each disease-focused group was allowed to submit approximately 3,000 additional SNPs. We submitted 17 of the 19 confirmed regions associated with psoriasis at genome-wide significance (Table 1) for fine mapping on the basis of a preliminary meta-analysis of our data, and 1 of the confirmed signals (IL28RA) and 9 of the new psoriasis-associated signals (Supplementary Table 5, disease overlap) were submitted for finemapping by groups studying other diseases (although we also submitted 3 of these as part of our additional SNP allocation: rs11121129, rs10865331 and rs9504361). Six additional signals were detected on the basis of additional

SNP allocation in individual groups; four of these (rs11795343, rs4561177, rs11652075 and rs545979) were submitted by our group. All Immunochip samples were genotyped as described in Illumina's protocols.

Genotype calling. For the PAGE data set, genotype calling was performed using Illumina's GenomeStudio Data Analysis software and the customgenerated cluster file of Trynka et al. 13. (which was generated by initial clustering of 2,000 UK samples with the GenTrain2.0 algorithm and subsequent manual readjustment and quality control). Genotype calling for the GAPC data set was performed using GenoSNP⁷³ from allele intensities, except for the German, Italian, Dutch and Finnish controls, which were called using the same method described for the PAGE data set.

Imputation. To increase the number of overlapping SNPs between data sets, we performed imputation on the 3 GWAS data sets using minimac⁷⁴ (Kiel and CASP) and IMPUTE2 (refs. 75,76) (WTCCC2) using data from CEU reference haplotypes from the 1000 Genomes Project⁷² (December 2010 version of the 10/08/04 sequence and alignment release containing 629 individuals of European descent). SNPs with low imputation quality ($r^2 \le 0.3$ for minimac and info score < 0.5 for IMPUTE2) were removed. For all 3 data sets, cases and controls were imputed together.

Sample and genotype quality control. For the Immunochip data sets, we first excluded SNPs with a call rate below 95% or with a Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium P value of $<1 \times 10^{-6}$. Samples with less than SNP call rates below 98% were then excluded. Because the Immunochip includes a large proportion of fine-mapping SNPs that are associated with autoimmune disease, we used a set of independent SNPs that have P values >0.5 from the meta-analysis of the three GWAS data sets as a quality control tool for each individual Immunochip data set. Using the HapMap 3 samples as reference⁷⁷, we performed principal-component analysis to identify and remove samples with non-European ancestry. We also removed samples with extreme inbreeding coefficients or heterozygosity values computed by PLINK⁷⁸.

To assess possible stratification in the data sets, principal-components analysis was also performed in each of the Immunochip data sets separately (excluding HapMap). There was no evidence of stratification between the cases and controls of each sample group. However, as expected, the top principal components did separate the samples well by country of origin. The use of the top ten eigenvectors as covariates in the analysis did not completely correct for stratification, and, therefore, a linear mixed-model method (efficient mixed-model association expedited (EMMAX)) was instead used for the association analysis. These methods have been shown to outperform principal components in correcting for this type of population stratification and cryptic relatedness⁷⁹, which is becoming more common as sample sizes increase and studies comprise more collaborative efforts.

To identify duplicate pairs or highly related individuals among data sets, we used a panel of 873 independent SNPs that were genotyped in both the GWAS and Immunochip samples and performed pairwise comparisons using the genome function in PLINK⁷⁸, requiring Pi-HAT of ≥0.5. We identified 1,142 (885 from GAPC and 257 from PAGE) related sample pairs (mostly duplicates) and removed one sample from each pair. We also removed 4,828 controls from the UK common Immunochip controls because of duplication in the WTCCC2 GWAS sample. For GWAS samples that were duplicated in the Immunochip data sets (the majority of duplicates), we removed the samples from the Immunochip data sets to keep the previously published data sets intact.

The GWAS data sets underwent quality control as previously described and were analyzed for association using the top principal components from the previous analyses as covariates³⁻⁵.

We visually inspected the signal intensity cluster plots for all SNPs with associations reaching genome-wide significance to confirm high-quality genotype calling.

Genomic control. Genomic control inflation factors for the five data sets were 1.09. (Kiel), 1.06 (CASP), 1.04 (WTCCC2), 0.99 (PAGE) and 0.96 (GAPC), indicating that population structure and cryptic relatedness were adequately controlled for in these data sets. Because the Immunochip was designed for deep replication and fine mapping of loci associated with autoimmune

doi:10.1038/ng.2467



diseases¹², using all independent SNPs from the chip would not give an accurate estimate of the genomic control⁸⁰ value (λ_{GC}). Therefore, we selected common SNPs (with minor allele frequency (MAF) of >0.05) from the Immunochip that had association *P* values of >0.5 on the basis of a meta-analysis combining the Kiel, CASP and WTCCC2 GWAS, and then performed LD pruning to identify an independent SNP set to compute λ_{GC} for the association results from the Immunochip data sets. As a result of SNP selection bias, the genomic control value for the final meta-analysis was computed using a set of independent SNPs associated with reading and writing ability (J.C. Barrett, personal communication). We further removed SNPs that were within 500 kb of previously detected psoriasis-associated loci (within 3 Mb in the MHC region), and the remaining 1,426 SNPs yielded λ_{GC} of 1.11 for the meta-analysis overall. Using λ_{1000} (ref. 81), the genomic control inflation factor for an equivalent study of 1,000 cases and 1,000 controls, the rescaled λ equaled 1.01.

- 70. Inouye, M. et al. An immune response network associated with blood lipid levels. PLoS Genet. 6, pii e1001113 (2010).
- 71. Wichmann, H.E., Gieger, C. & Illig, T. KORA-gen—resource for population genetics, controls and a broad spectrum of disease phenotypes. Gesundheitswesen 67 suppl 1, S26-S30 (2005).

- 72. 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. A map of human genome variation from population-scale sequencing. Nature 467, 1061-1073 (2010).
- 73. Giannoulatou, E., Yau, C., Colella, S., Ragoussis, J. & Holmes, C.C. GenoSNP: a variational Bayes within-sample SNP genotyping algorithm that does not require a reference population. Bioinformatics 24, 2209–2214 (2008).
- 74. Howie, B., Fuchsberger, C., Stephens, M., Marchini, J. & Abecasis, G. Fast and accurate genotype imputation in genome-wide association studies through prephasing. Nat. Genet. 44, 955-959 (2012).
- 75. Marchini, J., Howie, B., Myers, S., McVean, G. & Donnelly, P. A new multipoint method for genome-wide association studies by imputation of genotypes. Nat. Genet. 39, 906-913 (2007).
- 76. Howie, B.N., Donnelly, P. & Marchini, J. A flexible and accurate genotype imputation method for the next generation of genome-wide association studies. PLoS Genet. 5, e1000529 (2009).
- 77. Altshuler, D.M. et al. Integrating common and rare genetic variation in diverse human populations. Nature 467, 52-58 (2010).
- 78. Purcell, S. et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and populationbased linkage analyses. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 81, 559-575 (2007).
- 79. Kang, H.M. et al. Variance component model to account for sample structure in genome-wide association studies. Nat. Genet. 42, 348-354 (2010).
- 80. Devlin, B. & Roeder, K. Genomic control for association studies. Biometrics 55, 997-1004 (1999).
- 81. Freedman, M.L. et al. Assessing the impact of population stratification on genetic association studies. Nat. Genet. 36, 388-393 (2004).



